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#### Abstract

In this paper, Euler method, Runge-Kutta second order, Runge-Kutta fourth order, and Runge-Kutta Fehlberg methods are presented to solve the initial value problem of ordinary differential equation. These methods are effective enough to reach accuracy and well established for solving practical problems. To verify the accuracy, in comparison with the exact solution of our computed approximate solution, a standard initial value problem is taken as an example. Also, the accuracy and convergence are compared among these methods with different step sizes by using MATLAB.
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## 1. Introduction

Many real life problems related to science and engineering can be modeled using differential equations (DEs). Most of the time model equations that form using DEs get complicated and therefore it becomes very difficult to find its exact solution, though there are several exact methods to solve DEs. In this case, researcher fined their faith in numerical techniques which are able to find approximate solutions to the complicated model equations. One of the oldest numerical methods to solve the initial value differential equation is Euler Method named after Leonhard Euler in 1768. After then several methods have been developed in this regard and still, researchers are trying to develop new methods or trying to improve the accuracy of the existing method to find a better solution. However, Runge-Kutta method is also a popular method to solve ordinary differential equations. There are many RungeKutta methods [1]-[10]. In this paper we have studied Euler Method, Runge-Kutta $2^{\text {nd }}$ order method, Runge-Kutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order method, and Runge-Kutta Fehlberg Method to solve selected initial value ordinary differential equation (ODE) with the help of MATLAB software. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 deals with the brief discussion of the methodology, in section 3 formulation of the problem and computation are presented, discussion of the result is illustrated in section 4, and the conclusion is presented in section 5.

## 2. Brief discussion of methodology

In this study we have studied Euler Method, Runge-Kutta $2^{\text {nd }}$ order method, Runge-Kutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order method and Runge-Kutta Fehlberg Method. Details about these methods can be found in any standard numerical book [11][16]. A short note about the studied method to achieve the approximated numerical solution of the Initial Value Problem $y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ is given in the following subsections.

### 2.1 Euler's Method

One of the oldest numerical methods to solve ordinary differential equation is Euler Method. The method was originated by Leonhard Euler in 1768. The general formula of approximation is

$$
y_{n+1}(x)=y_{n}(x)+h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \ldots .
$$

### 2.2 Runge-Kutta $2^{\text {nd }}$ order method

Runge-Kutta $2^{\text {nd }}$ order method is the very begging method of Runge-Kutta family. Only ODE can be solved by using the Runge-Kutta 2nd order method. The general formula of the Runge-Kutta $2^{\text {nd }}$ order method is as follows:
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h \frac{1}{2}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)$, for $n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. where
$k_{1}=h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$
$k_{2}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}\right)$.

### 2.3 Runge-Kutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order method

Runge-Kutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order method is one of the most favorite ones among the Runge-Kutta family. It is a good choice for
a common purpose because it is quite accurate, stable, and easy to code. The derivation of Runge-Kutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order method can be found in any standard numerical textbook. Due to the paucity of space, we only write here the general formula of it.
$y_{n+1}(x+h)=y_{n}(x)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right)$,
where $n=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots$
Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1} & =h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
k_{2} & =h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}\right) \\
k_{3} & =h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}\right) \\
k_{4} & =h f\left(x_{n}+h, y_{n}+k_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.4 Runge-Kutta Fehlberg Method

Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg's method is an embedded method from the Runge-Kutta family. Erwin Fehlberg introduced a six-stage RK method which requires six function evaluations per step. If a proper step size is being used then at each step the method approximate two different solutions and compare it, if the approximate solutions are close enough then the method accept the solution. If it is not so, then a new step size is being chosen for further computation [17]. The general form of RK45 method is as follows:
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{25}{216} k_{1}+\frac{1408}{2565} k_{3}+\frac{2197}{4104} k_{4}-\frac{1}{5} k_{5}, \quad$ where
$n=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Also, } \\
& k_{1}=h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& k_{2}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{4} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{4} k_{1}\right) \\
& k_{3}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{3}{8} h, y_{n}+\frac{3}{32} k_{1}+\frac{9}{32} k_{2}\right), \\
& k_{4}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{12}{13} h, y_{n}+\frac{1932}{2197} k_{1}-\frac{7200}{2197} k_{2}+\frac{7296}{2197} k_{3}\right) \\
& k_{5}=h f\left(x_{n}+h, y_{n}+\frac{439}{216} k_{1}-8 k_{2}+\frac{3680}{513} k_{3}-\frac{845}{4104} k_{4}\right), \\
& k_{6}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}-\frac{8}{27} k_{1}+2 k_{2}-\frac{3544}{2565} k_{3}-\frac{1859}{4104} k_{4}-\right. \\
&\left.\frac{11}{40} k_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Problem formulation and computation

In this section, we discus about the operation procedure of the programing language MATLAB with the methods mention earlier in solving the initial valued problem. MATLAB is a high-performance language that uses for technical computing (like applied mathematics such as education, research, and industry) and stands for matrix laboratory that is built up around vector and matrices [18]. The language, tools, and built-in math functions enable you to explore multiple approaches and reach a solution faster than with spreadsheets or traditional programming languages, such as C/C++ or Java [19]. To find the solutions of ODE we have taken an initial valued problem. The following equation is considered as our model equation:

EQ: We consider the initial value problem
$y^{\prime}(x)=0.1 y+(1-0.1) \cos x-(1+0.1) \sin x$,
where $y(0)=1,0 \leq x \leq 10$.
The exact solution of this problem is given by $y(x)=$ $\sin x+\cos x$.

The results are computed with the initial step sizes $\mathrm{h}=0.75$, 0.6 , and 0.3 within the geometrical domain $0 \leq x \leq 10$.

### 3.1 Solution of the selected problem using Euler's Method

### 3.1.1 MATLAB implementation procedure for Euler method:

Input:
Starting point $a$, end point $b$, initial condition $\alpha$, step size $h$ Output:

Step: 1 setting initial condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
x=a \\
y=\alpha \\
\text { Step: } 2 \\
\text { While }(b>x) \text { do step 3-4 } \\
\text { Step: } 3 \\
y=y+h * f(x, y) \\
\text { Step: } 4 \text { if } x+h>b \\
\text { Set } h=b-x
\end{array} \\
& \text { Step: } 5 \text { Stop. }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.1.2 Relative description:

Table: 3.1.1 to Table: 3.1.3 contains the approximated solution, exact solution, and the relative absolute errors for the Euler's method with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.75,0.6$, and 0.3 respectively. And the relative figures are displayed at the Fig. 3.1.1 to Fig. 3.1.2 respectively. Where the line curve is the approximated solution and the circle represents the exact solution.

Table 3.1.1: Comparison between Euler approximated and exact solution with step size $h=0.75$

| x | Euler | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.750000 | 1.750000 | 1.413328 | 0.336672 |
| 1.500000 | 1.812788 | 1.068232 | 0.744556 |
| 2.250000 | 1.173561 | 0.149900 | 1.023662 |
| 3.000000 | 0.195651 | -0.848872 | 1.044523 |
| 3.750000 | -0.574344 | -1.392121 | 0.817776 |
| 4.500000 | -0.699760 | -1.188326 | 0.488566 |
| 5.250000 | -0.088066 | -0.346849 | 0.258783 |
| 6.000000 | 0.959607 | 0.680755 | 0.278853 |
| 6.750000 | 1.910211 | 1.343050 | 0.567160 |
| 7.500000 | 2.284969 | 1.284635 | 1.000334 |
| 8.250000 | 1.916471 | 0.536856 | 1.379615 |
| 9.000000 | 1.038678 | -0.499012 | 1.537690 |
| 9.750000 | 0.161568 | -1.267099 | 1.428667 |
| 10.000000 | -0.202327 | -1.355233 | 1.152905 |



Fig. 3.1.1: Graphical representation of approximated solution with Euler Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.75$ )

Table 3.1.2: Comparison between Euler approximated and exact solution with step size $h=0.6$

| x | Euler | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.600000 | 1.600000 | 1.389978 | 0.210022 |
| 1.200000 | 1.769017 | 1.294397 | 0.474620 |
| 1.800000 | 1.455686 | 0.746646 | 0.709040 |
| 2.400000 | 0.777598 | -0.061931 | 0.839529 |
| 3.000000 | -0.019744 | -0.848872 | 0.829128 |
| 3.600000 | -0.648664 | -1.339279 | 0.690615 |
| 4.200000 | -0.879770 | -1.361837 | 0.482067 |
| 4.800000 | -0.622057 | -0.908666 | 0.286609 |
| 5.400000 | 0.045338 | -0.138072 | 0.183409 |
| 6.000000 | 0.900817 | 0.680755 | 0.220062 |
| 6.600000 | 1.657772 | 1.261774 | 0.395998 |
| 7.200000 | 2.064747 | 1.402019 | 0.662727 |
| 7.800000 | 1.993320 | 1.052499 | 0.940821 |
| 8.400000 | 1.483017 | 0.335310 | 1.147706 |
| 9.000000 | 0.727547 | -0.499012 | 1.226558 |
| 9.600000 | 0.007191 | -1.159015 | 1.166205 |
| 10.000000 | -0.409053 | -1.414140 | 1.005087 |



Fig. 3.1.2: Graphical representation of approximated solution with Euler Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.6$ )

Table 3.1.3: Comparison between Euler approximated and exact solution with step size $h=0.3$

| x | Euler | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.300000 | 1.300000 | 1.250857 | 0.049143 |
| 0.600000 | 1.499419 | 1.389978 | 0.109441 |
| 0.900000 | 1.580910 | 1.404937 | 0.175973 |
| 1.200000 | 1.537674 | 1.294397 | 0.243278 |
| 1.500000 | 1.374068 | 1.068232 | 0.305836 |
| 1.800000 | 1.105216 | 0.746646 | 0.358571 |
| 2.100000 | 0.755658 | 0.358363 | 0.397295 |
| 2.400000 | 0.357161 | -0.061931 | 0.419091 |
| 2.700000 | -0.054124 | -0.476692 | 0.422569 |
| 3.000000 | -0.440882 | -0.848872 | 0.407990 |
| 3.300000 | -0.767976 | -1.145225 | 0.377249 |
| 3.600000 | -1.005579 | -1.339279 | 0.333700 |
| 3.900000 | -1.131840 | -1.413698 | 0.281859 |
| 4.200000 | -1.134834 | -1.361837 | 0.227003 |
| 4.500000 | -1.013629 | -1.188326 | 0.174697 |
| 4.800000 | -0.778368 | -0.908666 | 0.130298 |
| 5.100000 | -0.449360 | -0.547837 | 0.098477 |
| 5.400000 | -0.055268 | -0.138072 | 0.082804 |
| 5.700000 | 0.369454 | 0.284027 | 0.085426 |
| 6.000000 | 0.787636 | 0.680755 | 0.106881 |
| 6.300000 | 1.162718 | 1.016673 | 0.146045 |
| 6.600000 | 1.462013 | 1.261774 | 0.200239 |
| 6.900000 | 1.659627 | 1.394165 | 0.265462 |
| 7.200000 | 1.738777 | 1.402019 | 0.336758 |
| 7.500000 | 1.693285 | 1.284635 | 0.408649 |
| 7.800000 | 1.528135 | 1.052499 | 0.475636 |
| 8.100000 | 1.259027 | 0.726346 | 0.532682 |
| 8.400000 | 0.910978 | 0.335310 | 0.575667 |
| 8.700000 | 0.516081 | -0.085677 | 0.601759 |
| 9.000000 | 0.110649 | -0.499012 | 0.609661 |
| 9.300000 | -0.268035 | -0.867771 | 0.599736 |
| 9.600000 | -0.585047 | -1.159015 | 0.573967 |
| 9.900000 | -0.810937 | -1.346727 | 0.535790 |
| 10.000000 | -0.924359 | -1.414140 | 0.489781 |

### 3.2 Solution of the selected problem using Runge-Kutta $2^{\text {nd }}$ order method

### 3.2.1 MATLAB implementation procedure for RK2 method:



Fig. 3.1.3: Graphical representation of approximated solution with Euler Method (h=0.3)

Input:
Starting point $a$, end point $b$, initial condition $\alpha$, step size $h$ Output:

Step: 1 setting initial condition

$$
x=a
$$

$$
y=\alpha
$$

Step: 2 While $(b>x)$ do step 3-5
Step: 3
$k 1=h * f(x, y)$;
$k 2=h * f(x+h, y+k 1)$;
Step: 4
$y=y+(1 / 2) *(k 1+k 2)$
Step: 5 if $x+h>b$
Set $h=b-x$
Step: 6 Stop.

### 3.2.2 Relative description:

The approximated solution, exact solution, and the relative absolute errors for the RK2 method with step size $h=0.75$, 0.6 , and 0.3 are displayed from Table: 3.2.1 to Table: 3.2.3 respectively. And Fig. 3.2.1 to Fig. 3.2.2 represents the corresponding graphical representation relative to those step sizes respectively. Where the line curve is the approximated solution and the circle represents the exact solution as before.

Table 3.2.1: Comparison between RK2 approximated and exact solution with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.75$

| x | Exact | RK2 | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.750000 | 1.413328 | 1.406394 | 0.006934 |
| 1.500000 | 1.068232 | 1.091438 | 0.023206 |
| 2.250000 | 0.149900 | 0.226739 | 0.076840 |
| 3.000000 | -0.848872 | -0.720888 | 0.127984 |
| 3.750000 | -1.392121 | -1.239912 | 0.152209 |
| 4.500000 | -1.188326 | -1.048562 | 0.139764 |
| 5.250000 | -0.346849 | -0.246020 | 0.100829 |
| 6.000000 | 0.680755 | 0.740828 | 0.060073 |
| 6.750000 | 1.343050 | 1.386485 | 0.043435 |
| 7.500000 | 1.284635 | 1.348863 | 0.064228 |
| 8.250000 | 0.536856 | 0.652876 | 0.116020 |
| 9.000000 | -0.499012 | -0.322899 | 0.176113 |
| 9.750000 | -1.267099 | -1.049349 | 0.217750 |
| 10.000000 | -1.355233 | -1.130728 | 0.224505 |



Fig. 3.2.1: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK2 Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.75$ )
The solution curve and exact values at corresponding points

| x | RK2 | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.600000 | 1.384509 | 1.389978 | 0.005469 |
| 1.200000 | 1.299034 | 1.294397 | 0.004637 |
| 1.800000 | 0.774280 | 0.746646 | 0.027634 |
| 2.400000 | -0.005546 | -0.061931 | 0.056384 |
| 3.000000 | -0.767076 | -0.848872 | 0.081797 |
| 3.600000 | -1.243274 | -1.339279 | 0.096005 |
| 4.200000 | -1.266717 | -1.361837 | 0.095120 |
| 4.800000 | -0.828076 | -0.908666 | 0.080589 |
| 5.400000 | -0.079372 | -0.138072 | 0.058700 |
| 6.000000 | 0.719138 | 0.680755 | 0.038384 |
| 6.600000 | 1.289876 | 1.261774 | 0.028102 |
| 7.200000 | 1.434914 | 1.402019 | 0.032895 |
| 7.800000 | 1.105125 | 1.052499 | 0.052626 |
| 8.400000 | 0.417348 | 0.335310 | 0.082038 |
| 9.000000 | -0.386423 | -0.499012 | 0.112588 |
| 9.600000 | -1.023567 | -1.159015 | 0.135448 |
| 10.000000 | -1.269554 | -1.414140 | 0.144586 |

Table 3.2.2: Comparison between RK2
approximated and exact solution with step size
Table 3.2.2: Comparison between RK2
approximated and exact solution with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.6$
$\qquad$ ,

Fig. 3.2.2: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK2 Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.6$ )

Table 3.2.3: Comparison between RK2 approximated and exact solution with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.3$

| x | RK2 | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.300000 | 1.249710 | 1.250857 | 0.001147 |
| 0.600000 | 1.388633 | 1.389978 | 0.001345 |
| 0.900000 | 1.404388 | 1.404937 | 0.000549 |
| 1.200000 | 1.295592 | 1.294397 | 0.001195 |
| 1.500000 | 1.071991 | 1.068232 | 0.003759 |
| 1.800000 | 0.753588 | 0.746646 | 0.006942 |
| 2.100000 | 0.368853 | 0.358363 | 0.010490 |
| 2.400000 | -0.047816 | -0.061931 | 0.014114 |
| 2.700000 | -0.459169 | -0.476692 | 0.017523 |
| 3.000000 | -0.828429 | -0.848872 | 0.020443 |
| 3.300000 | -1.122579 | -1.145225 | 0.022647 |
| 3.600000 | -1.315308 | -1.339279 | 0.023971 |
| 3.900000 | -1.389366 | -1.413698 | 0.024332 |
| 4.200000 | -1.338103 | -1.361837 | 0.023734 |
| 4.500000 | -1.166059 | -1.188326 | 0.022267 |
| 4.800000 | -0.888566 | -0.908666 | 0.020099 |
| 5.100000 | -0.530372 | -0.547837 | 0.017465 |
| 5.400000 | -0.123432 | -0.138072 | 0.014640 |
| 5.700000 | 0.295944 | 0.284027 | 0.011917 |
| 6.000000 | 0.690338 | 0.680755 | 0.009583 |
| 6.300000 | 1.024564 | 1.016673 | 0.007891 |
| 6.600000 | 1.268812 | 1.261774 | 0.007038 |
| 6.900000 | 1.401311 | 1.394165 | 0.007146 |
| 7.200000 | 1.410274 | 1.402019 | 0.008254 |
| 7.500000 | 1.294950 | 1.284635 | 0.010314 |
| 7.800000 | 1.065692 | 1.052499 | 0.013193 |
| 8.100000 | 0.743032 | 0.726346 | 0.016686 |
| 8.400000 | 0.355847 | 0.335310 | 0.020537 |
| 8.700000 | -0.061221 | -0.085677 | 0.024456 |
| 9.000000 | -0.470858 | -0.499012 | 0.028153 |
| 9.300000 | -0.836414 | -0.867771 | 0.031357 |
| 9.600000 | -1.125171 | -1.159015 | 0.033843 |
| 0 onn0nn | -1211971 | -1216797 | 0.025152 |

The solution curve and exact values at corresponding points


| 10.000000 | -1.378033 | -1.414140 | 0.036108 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 3.3 Solution of the selected problem using RungeKutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order method

### 3.3.1 MATLAB implementation procedure for RK4 method:

Input:
Starting point $a$, end point $b$, initial condition $\alpha$, step size $h$

Output:
Step: 1 setting initial condition
Set $n=(b-a) / h$
$x=a$
$y=\alpha$
Step: 2 While $(b>x)$ do step 3-5
Step: 3
$k 1=h * f(x(i), y(i))$;
$k 2=h * f(x(i)+h / 2, y(i)+k 1 / 2)$;
$k 3=h * f(x(i)+h / 2, y(i)+k 2 / 2)$;
$k 4=h * f(x(i)+h, y(i)+k 3)$;
Step: 4
$y=y+(1 / 6) *(k 1+2 * k 2+2 * k 3+k 4)$
Step: 5 if $(x+h>b)$
Set $h=b-x$
Step: 6 Stop.

### 3.3.2 Relative description:

Here the Table: 3.3.1 to Table: 3.3.3 contains the approximation of RK4 method with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.75,0.6$, and 0.3 respectively, where the approximated solution, exact solution, and the relative absolute errors are presented. And the relative figures are displayed at the Fig. 3.3.1 to Fig. 3.3.2 respectively. Again the line curve is the approximated solution and the circle represents the exact solution.

Fig. 3.2.3: Graphical representation of approximated IJSER © 2021 solution with RK2 Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.3$ )

Table 3.3.1: Comparison between RK4 approximated and exact solution with step size $h=0.75$

| x | RK4 | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.750000 | 1.413319 | 1.413328 | 0.000009 |
| 1.500000 | 1.068134 | 1.068232 | 0.000099 |
| 2.250000 | 0.149671 | 0.149900 | 0.000228 |
| 3.000000 | -0.849207 | -0.848872 | 0.000335 |
| 3.750000 | -1.392490 | -1.392121 | 0.000369 |
| 4.500000 | -1.188647 | -1.188326 | 0.000321 |
| 5.250000 | -0.347075 | -0.346849 | 0.000226 |
| 6.000000 | 0.680611 | 0.680755 | 0.000144 |
| 6.750000 | 1.342921 | 1.343050 | 0.000129 |
| 7.500000 | 1.284433 | 1.284635 | 0.000202 |
| 8.250000 | 0.536522 | 0.536856 | 0.000335 |
| 9.000000 | -0.499481 | -0.499012 | 0.000469 |
| 9.750000 | -1.267647 | -1.267099 | 0.000548 |
| 10.000000 | -1.355776 | -1.355233 | 0.000544 |



Fig. 3.3.1: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK4 Method (h=0.75)

Table 3.3.2: Comparison between RK4 approximated and exact solution with step size

| x | RK 4 | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.600000 | 1.389978 | 1.389978 | 0.000000 |
| 1.200000 | 1.294375 | 1.294397 | 0.000022 |
| 1.800000 | 0.746585 | 0.746646 | 0.000061 |
| 2.400000 | -0.062034 | -0.061931 | 0.000103 |
| 3.000000 | -0.849009 | -0.848872 | 0.000136 |
| 3.600000 | -1.339429 | -1.339279 | 0.000150 |
| 4.200000 | -1.361979 | -1.361837 | 0.000142 |
| 4.800000 | -0.908782 | -0.908666 | 0.000116 |
| 5.400000 | -0.138156 | -0.138072 | 0.000084 |
| 6.000000 | 0.680696 | 0.680755 | 0.000059 |
| 6.600000 | 1.261723 | 1.261774 | 0.000051 |
| 7.200000 | 1.401953 | 1.402019 | 0.000066 |
| 7.800000 | 1.052397 | 1.052499 | 0.000102 |
| 8.400000 | 0.335162 | 0.335310 | 0.000148 |
| 9.000000 | -0.499203 | -0.499012 | 0.000191 |
| 9.600000 | -1.159234 | -1.159015 | 0.000219 |
| 10.000000 | -1.414366 | -1.414140 | 0.000226 |



Fig. 3.3.2: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK4 Method (h=0.6)

Table 3.3.3: Comparison between RK4 approximated and
exact solution with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.3$

| x | RK4 | Exact | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.300000 | 1.250857 | 1.250857 | 0.000000 |
| 0.600000 | 1.389978 | 1.389978 | 0.000000 |
| 0.900000 | 1.404936 | 1.404937 | 0.000001 |
| 1.200000 | 1.294395 | 1.294397 | 0.000001 |
| 1.500000 | 1.068230 | 1.068232 | 0.000002 |
| 1.800000 | 0.746642 | 0.746646 | 0.000004 |
| 2.100000 | 0.358358 | 0.358363 | 0.000005 |
| 2.400000 | -0.061937 | -0.061931 | 0.000006 |
| 2.700000 | -0.476700 | -0.476692 | 0.000008 |
| 3.000000 | -0.848881 | -0.848872 | 0.000008 |
| 3.300000 | -1.145235 | -1.145225 | 0.000009 |
| 3.600000 | -1.339288 | -1.339279 | 0.000009 |
| 3.900000 | -1.413708 | -1.413698 | 0.000009 |
| 4.200000 | -1.361845 | -1.361837 | 0.000009 |
| 4.500000 | -1.188334 | -1.188326 | 0.000008 |
| 4.800000 | -0.908673 | -0.908666 | 0.000007 |
| 5.100000 | -0.547843 | -0.547837 | 0.000006 |
| 5.400000 | -0.138077 | -0.138072 | 0.000005 |
| 5.700000 | 0.284023 | 0.284027 | 0.000004 |
| 6.000000 | 0.680751 | 0.680755 | 0.000004 |
| 6.300000 | 1.016669 | 1.016673 | 0.000003 |
| 6.600000 | 1.261771 | 1.261774 | 0.000003 |
| 6.900000 | 1.394161 | 1.394165 | 0.000003 |
| 7.200000 | 1.402015 | 1.402019 | 0.000004 |
| 7.500000 | 1.284630 | 1.284635 | 0.000005 |
| 7.800000 | 1.052492 | 1.052499 | 0.000006 |
| 8.100000 | 0.726338 | 0.726346 | 0.000008 |
| 8.400000 | 0.335301 | 0.335310 | 0.000009 |
| 8.700000 | -0.085688 | -0.085677 | 0.000011 |
| 9.000000 | -0.499024 | -0.499012 | 0.000012 |
| 9.300000 | -0.867784 | -0.867771 | 0.000013 |
| 9.600000 | -1.159028 | -1.159015 | 0.000014 |
| 9.900000 | -1.346741 | -1.346727 | 0.000014 |
| 10.000000 | -1.175279 | -1.175266 | 0.000013 |
|  |  |  |  |

### 3.4 Solution of the selected problem using RungeKutta Fehlberg Method

### 3.4.1 MATLAB implementation procedure for RK45 method:

Input:
Starting point $a$, end point $b$, initial condition $\alpha$, step size $h$ Output:

Step: 1 setting initial condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=a \\
& y=\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 3.3.3: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK4 Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.3$ )

Step: 2 While $(b>x)$ do step 3-7
Step: 3
$k 1=h * f(x, y) ;$
$k 2=h * f(x+h / 4, y+k 1 / 4)$;
$k 3=h * f(x+3 * h / 8, y+3 * k 1 / 32+9 *$
k2/32);
$k 4=h * f(x+12 * h / 13, y+1932 *$
$k 1 / 2197-7200 * k 2 / 2197+7296 * k 3 / 2197)$;
$k 5=h * f(x+h, y+439 * k 1 / 216-8 *$
$k 2+3680 * k 3 / 513-845 * k 4 / 4104)$;
$k 6=h * f(x+h / 2, y-8 * k 1 / 27+2 *$
$k 2-3544 * k 3 / 2565+1859 * k 4 / 4104-11 *$ k5/40);

Step: 4
error $=a b s(k 1 / 360-128 * k 3 / 4275-2197 * k 4 / 75240.0$
$+k 5 / 50+2 * k 6 / 55) / h ;$
ynew $=y(i)+25 * k 1 / 216+1408 * k 3 / 2565+$
$2197 * k 4 / 4104-k 5 / 5$;
Step: 5 If (error < tolerance)
$y=$ ynew;
$\delta=0.84 *(\text { tolerance } / r)^{\wedge}(1 / 4)$
$h=\delta * h$;
Step: 6
if $(h<h m i n)$
$h=h m i n$
else if ( $h>h \max$ )
$h=h \max$
Step: 7
if $(x+h>b)$
$h=b-x$
$x=x+h ;$
Step: 8 Stop.

### 3.3.2 Relative description:

The results of our remaining method of RK45 are contained in Table: 3.4.1 to Table: 3.4.3, where the approximated
solution, exact solution, the relative absolute errors, and also the variable step size are presented with the initial step size $\mathrm{h}=0.75,0.6$, and 0.3 respectively. And the relative figures are displayed in Fig. 3.4.1 to Fig. 3.4.2 respectively.

And to the previous, the line curve represents the approximated solution and the circle represents the exact solution.

Table 3.4.1: Comparison between RK45 approximated and exact solution with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.75$

| x | RKF45 | Exact | Step Size | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.750000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.750000 | 1.413333 | 1.413328 | 0.750000 | 0.000006 |
| 1.417406 | 1.141058 | 1.141048 | 0.667406 | 0.000010 |
| 2.127314 | 0.320883 | 0.320867 | 0.709908 | 0.000016 |
| 2.770328 | -0.569056 | -0.569075 | 0.643013 | 0.000019 |
| 3.433401 | -1.245391 | -1.245410 | 0.663073 | 0.000019 |
| 4.244424 | -1.343550 | -1.343559 | 0.811023 | 0.000009 |
| 4.900699 | -0.795117 | -0.795123 | 0.656275 | 0.000006 |
| 5.562244 | 0.091097 | 0.091093 | 0.661546 | 0.000004 |
| 6.204693 | 0.918513 | 0.918509 | 0.642449 | 0.000003 |
| 6.907399 | 1.395889 | 1.395882 | 0.702706 | 0.000007 |
| 7.600413 | 1.218896 | 1.218884 | 0.693013 | 0.000013 |
| 8.336521 | 0.421810 | 0.421789 | 0.736108 | 0.000020 |
| 8.982712 | -0.476039 | -0.476062 | 0.646191 | 0.000023 |
| 9.639583 | -1.190151 | -1.190175 | 0.656871 | 0.000024 |
| 10.00000 | -1.383068 | -1.383093 | 0.360417 | 0.000025 |

Table 3.4.2: Comparison between RK45 approximated and exact solution with step size $\mathrm{h}=0.6$

| x | RKF45 | Error | Step Size | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.600000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.600000 | 1.389979 | 1.389978 | 0.600000 | 0.000001 |
| 1.295652 | 1.234078 | 1.234072 | 0.695652 | 0.000007 |
| 2.037966 | 0.442500 | 0.442486 | 0.742313 | 0.000014 |
| 2.685005 | -0.456658 | -0.456675 | 0.647040 | 0.000017 |
| 3.340739 | -1.178051 | -1.178069 | 0.655734 | 0.000017 |
| 4.114388 | -1.389444 | -1.389454 | 0.773648 | 0.000011 |
| 4.778601 | -0.931638 | -0.931646 | 0.664213 | 0.000007 |
| 5.453537 | -0.062555 | -0.062559 | 0.674936 | 0.000004 |
| 6.094267 | 0.794415 | 0.794412 | 0.640730 | 0.000004 |
| 6.778986 | 1.355330 | 1.355324 | 0.684719 | 0.000006 |
| 7.517214 | 1.274279 | 1.274266 | 0.738228 | 0.000014 |
| 8.285605 | 0.489965 | 0.489942 | 0.768391 | 0.000023 |
| 8.935284 | -0.412364 | -0.412391 | 0.649679 | 0.000027 |
| 9.588597 | -1.149671 | -1.149699 | 0.653313 | 0.000028 |
| 10.000000 | -1.383063 | -1.383093 | 0.411403 | 0.000029 |

The solution curve and exact values at corresponding points


Fig. 3.4.1: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK45 order Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.75$ )



Fig. 3.4.2: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK45 order

Table 3.4.3: Comparison between RK45 approximated and exact solution with step size $h=0.3$

| x | RK45 | Exact | Step Size | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.300000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.300000 | 1.250857 | 1.250857 | 0.300000 | 0.000000 |
| 0.900000 | 1.404939 | 1.404937 | 0.600000 | 0.000002 |
| 1.500000 | 1.068237 | 1.068232 | 0.600000 | 0.000005 |
| 2.100000 | 0.358370 | 0.358363 | 0.600000 | 0.000007 |
| 2.700000 | -0.476684 | -0.476692 | 0.600000 | 0.000009 |
| 3.300000 | -1.145216 | -1.145225 | 0.600000 | 0.000009 |
| 3.900000 | -1.413691 | -1.413698 | 0.600000 | 0.000008 |
| 4.500000 | -1.188320 | -1.188326 | 0.600000 | 0.000006 |
| 5.100000 | -0.547833 | -0.547837 | 0.600000 | 0.000004 |
| 5.700000 | 0.284030 | 0.284027 | 0.600000 | 0.000003 |
| 6.300000 | 1.016675 | 1.016673 | 0.600000 | 0.000003 |
| 6.900000 | 1.394169 | 1.394165 | 0.600000 | 0.000004 |
| 7.500000 | 1.284642 | 1.284635 | 0.600000 | 0.000007 |
| 8.100000 | 0.726355 | 0.726346 | 0.600000 | 0.000010 |
| 8.700000 | -0.085665 | -0.085677 | 0.600000 | 0.000012 |
| 9.300000 | -0.867758 | -0.867771 | 0.600000 | 0.000013 |
| 9.900000 | -1.346714 | -1.346727 | 0.600000 | 0.000013 |
| 10.00000 | -1.383079 | -1.383093 | 0.100000 | 0.000013 |

## 4. Results and discussions

The tables and graphs displayed above are our computed results and comparison with the exact solution. The maximum absolute error is also calculated at each point of approximation with conducted step sizes $(0.75,0.6,0.3)$. The bar diagrams Fig: 4.1-4.3 display the error at each step of our discussed methods for different step sizes respectively and we can easily see that as much as the step size is small the error is small and convergence is faster. But we can examine the difference in the case of the RK45 method. Since the step size could be increased or decreased as required within the MATLAB program and we can choose our suitable tolerance limit, so the accuracy is not much dependent on the initial step size. It is observed from the result and graph that the solution with the RK45 method is so much close to the exact solution in comparison
step size(0.75)


The solution curve and exact values at corresponding points


Fig. 3.4.3: Graphical representation of approximated solution with RK45 order Method ( $\mathrm{h}=0.3$ )
to the Euler method, RK2 method, and RK4 method.

Fig. 4.1: The error of Euler, RK2, RK4, and RK45 methods with step size $h=0.75$
step size(0.6)


Fig. 4.2: The error of Euler, RK2, RK4, and RK45 methods with step size $h=0.6$

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, the Euler method, Runge-Kutta $2^{\text {nd }}$ order, Runge-Kutta $4^{\text {th }}$ order, and Runge-Kutta Fehlberg methods are used to solve the initial value problem of an ordinary differential equation. Our obtained results from all methods are in good agreement with the actual solution, accuracy depends, and convergence with a small step size. Though The RK2 method gives better accuracy than the Euler method and the result of the RK4 method gives better than RK2, the accuracy of RK45 (which is in our choice) is better than all of these methods. Thus, to solve the initial valued ordinary differential equation, the RK45 method will be the better choice and easily adapted with MATLAB.
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